

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,553	11/14/2003	T. Douglas Moser	TDMF121766	7975
26389	7590 08/24/2005		EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			GELLNER, JEFFREY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/713,553	MOSER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey L. Gellner	3643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 June 2005</u> .						
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,5 and 17-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,2,6-16 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Page 1 Other: Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Examiner agrees with Applicant that claim 6 is within the ambit of the elected species.

An action on claim 6 is included in this office action. Claims 4, 5, and 17-19 remain withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 and 6-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Brick et al. (TB 98-2; CO and WY Agric. Exp. Stat.) in view of Miller et al. (MT200204 AG 3/2002; Montana Stat. U. Exp. Ser.).

As to Claim 1, Brick et al. disclose a method of commercial production of green Cicer beans (page 2, 4<sup>th</sup> para.) wherein the method comprises selecting acreage based on relative risk of caramelization for a crop of Cicer beans (page 2 last two para. and page 3 first two para.; in that these regions grow and harvest commercially viable Cicer beans); planting Cicer beans in the selected acreage (page 2 last two para. and page 3 first two para.); and harvesting the Cicer beans when the growing degree days reach a predetermined accumulated value (Table 2 on page 12 in that DTF and DTM are determined by growing degree days and harvest is optimal at DTM) with some green beans (page 6, 2<sup>nd</sup> para.) which, at least for the green beans, would inherently

Application/Control Number: 10/713,553

Art Unit: 3643

have an accumulated value between 70 and 110 since they are green beans. Not disclosed is monitoring of growing degree days (GDD) by calculating a daily growing degree value. Miller et al., however, discloses calculating growing degree days for Cicer (page 2 at the "Growing season" section). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Brick et al. by using daily GDD as disclosed by Miller et al. so as more precisely predict and determine DTM so as to more adequately prepare for harvest.

Page 3

As to Claim 2, the limitations of Claim 1 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed is a particular GDD formula and predetermined accumulated value. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the method of Brick et al. as modified by Miller et al. by using a particular GDD formula and predetermined accumulated value depending upon crop species to arrive at a model that optimally and robustly predicts DTM, or any other physiologic growth stage.

As to Claims 6-9, Brick et al. as modified by Miller et al. further disclose using micro and macro climate data (in that the crop is/will grow in climatic regions that are conducive for growth of a "drought tolerant, cool-season, legume crop" of page 2, 1<sup>st</sup> para., of Brick et al.) which would be historical and global ocean surface temperature to determine years with or without the effects of El niño.

As to Claims 10 and 11, Brick et al. as modified by Miller et al. further disclose using fertilizer (page 4 "Nutrient Requirement of The Crop" section of Brick et al.).

Application/Control Number: 10/713,553

Art Unit: 3643

As to Claim 12, Brick et al. as modified by Miller et al. further disclose upright plants suitable for mechanical harvesting (from "direct cutting" of "Harvest Procedures" of page 6 of Brick et al.).

As to Claims 13-16, Brick et al. as modified by Miller et al. further disclose using Kabuli seed types ("Commercial Varieties and Seed Sources" of page 3 of Brick et al.); irrigated or dryland (last para. of page 1 of Brick et al.); and, 4-48 in. row spacing (page 4, 2<sup>nd</sup> complete para. of Brick et al.).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6 June 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The crux of Applicant's arguments are: (1) Brick et al. teaches away from the production of green *Cicer* beans (Remarks page 7, 1<sup>st</sup> complete para.); and, Miller et al. teaches away from the production of green *Cicer* (Remarks page 8, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> para.).

As to argument (1), although Brick et al. disclose production of non-green *Cicer* beans, Brick et al. does disclose the harvest of green *Cicer* beans at page 6, 1<sup>st</sup> complete para (see MPEP 2123 - Nonpreferred embodiments constitute prior art - for the concept that a reference does not teach away when the reference asserts no discovery beyond what is known in the art (citing 27 F.3<sup>rd</sup> at 554)), These beans would consequently have accumulated between 70 and 110 growing degree days since Applicant has observed green *Cicer* beans to have this range of growing degree days (see Specification page 11, lines 14-18).

As to argument (2), although Miller et al. disclose production of non-green *Cicer* beans, Miller et al. does disclose the harvest of green *Cicer* beans at page 2, 3<sup>rd</sup> col. (see MPEP 2123 -

Art Unit: 3643

Nonpreferred embodiments constitute prior art - for the concept that a reference does not teach away when the reference asserts no discovery beyond what is known in the art (citing 27 F.3<sup>rd</sup> at 554). These beans would consequently have accumulated between 70 and 110 growing degree days since Applicant has observed green Cicer beans to have this range of growing degree days (see Specification page 11, lines 14-18).

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Gellner whose telephone number is 571.272.6887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-4:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 571.272.6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey L. Gellner Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3643